

THE  
AMERICAN  
JOURNAL OF SCIENCE.

*and arts*

EDITORS

JAMES D. AND E. S. DANA, AND B. SILLIMAN.

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROFESSORS ASA GRAY, JOSIAH P. COOKE, AND  
JOHN TROWBRIDGE, OF CAMBRIDGE,

PROFESSORS H. A. NEWTON AND A. E. VERRILL, OF  
NEW HAVEN,

PROFESSOR GEORGE F. BARKER, OF PHILADELPHIA.

THIRD SERIES.

VOL. XX.—[WHOLE NUMBER, CXX.]

Nos. 115—120.

JULY TO DECEMBER, 1880.

WITH NINE PLATES.

NEW HAVEN, CONN.: J. D. & E. S. DANA.

1880.

TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE LIBRARY  
LUBBOCK, TEXAS

P  
169

ART. XXXVI.—Results of Pendulum Experiments; by C. S. PEIRCE, Assistant Coast and Geodetic Survey. [Published by authority of C. P. Patterson, Superintendent.]

THE following are the results obtained from observations made by me, for the U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey, at four important stations, for the purpose of comparing the lengths of the seconds pendulum, together with reductions to the sea-level and to the equator. In making the last reduction I have assumed the ellipticity to be  $=1:293$ , which is the latest result from measurements of arcs.

	At station.	At sea-level.	At equator.
Hoboken	0.9932052 <sup>m</sup>	0.9932074 <sup>m</sup>	0.9910003 <sup>m</sup>
Paris	0.9939337	0.9939500	0.9910132
Berlin	0.9942399	0.9942482	0.9909865
Kew	0.9941776	0.9941790	0.9910083

The differences of the figures in the last column from 0.991<sup>m</sup>, a value conveniently near their mean, when reduced to oscillations per diem are: Hoboken +0.01<sup>s</sup>; Paris +0.58<sup>s</sup>; Berlin -0.59<sup>s</sup>; Kew +0.36<sup>s</sup>. The following are the residuals of former observations according to Clarke (Geodesy, p. 349).

New York +0.20<sup>s</sup>; Paris -3.29<sup>s</sup>; Kew +2.89<sup>s</sup>.

Colonel Clarke has used a value of the ellipticity  $=1:292.2$  derived from pendulum experiments. This slight difference, however, is not important.

It should be explained that the result for Hoboken is derived from [T<sup>2</sup> Inv.] "Regular Set," given on page 318, and also on page 416 of the Report of the Superintendent of the U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey for 1876. This number is treated as explained on page 319, where in the second line from the bottom for [T<sup>2</sup> Rev.] read [T<sup>2</sup> Inv.] The altitude of the Hoboken station is stated on page 204. The numbers for the European stations are copied from page 320.

The length which I have taken as the meter has been derived from the German Eichungsamt, as fully explained in my report. This is about 19.2 microns shorter than the quantity which is considered to be a meter in our own office of weights and measures, and is admitted in Berlin to be doubtful. It is impossible to fix the true meter at present; but I have but little doubt the above values will ultimately have to be diminished by about twenty microns on account of the error in the standard used.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY