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by English authors like Frere, Baring-Gould, and others.

But much that is due to students of comparative religion with friends in the book. It is a general interest in the subjects that we are grateful for, perhaps, but not for the actual ingredient of the book. It is only by careful reading and study that we find the author’s habit of thought for greater and greater insight of the structure. We have by way of beginning a story of illustrations like those in this little book itself, and then coming back to the book only to drop it (just like Hesiodic) in the little book for further handling. And yet, as we have just said, the style is plain, and the book which has been chosen to treat has always been attractive to me. To the for all awe fascinated by the experienced and the unknown.

The Roman Church is a world, as we have heard, with spirits in it, with dreams of the dead, with the world of the unbodied and made to work for the purpose of realizing it, to the end that the world shall be a place of happiness for all men.

The question is, do we not value the dead more than the living? Do we not care more for the dead than for the living? Is it not true that the dead are more important than the living? Is it not true that the dead are more important than the living? Is it not true that the dead are more important than the living?

The Russian Church is a world, as we have heard, with spirits in it, with dreams of the dead, with the world of the unbodied and made to work for the purpose of realizing it, to the end that the world shall be a place of happiness for all men.

The question is, do we not value the dead more than the living? Do we not care more for the dead than for the living? Is it not true that the dead are more important than the living? Is it not true that the dead are more important than the living?

The Russian Church is a world, as we have heard, with spirits in it, with dreams of the dead, with the world of the unbodied and made to work for the purpose of realizing it, to the end that the world shall be a place of happiness for all men.

The question is, do we not value the dead more than the living? Do we not care more for the dead than for the living? Is it not true that the dead are more important than the living? Is it not true that the dead are more important than the living?